

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/688,573	10/20/2003	Robert M. Zeidman	ZEID-01	2483
66323 ZEIDMAN TE	7590 05/30/2008 ECHNOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
15565 SWISS CREEK LANE			WANG, BEN C	
CUPERTINO, CA 95014			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2192	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

(1) Dohou M. Zoidman

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/688,573	ZEIDMAN, ROBERT M.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
BEN C. WANG	2192		

(A) BEN A 14/11/A

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kober W. Zeidman.	(S)BEN C. WANG.				
(2) <u>Jim H. Salter (Reg. No. 35,668)</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 20 May 2008.					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:					
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 3, 5, 7, 15, 17, 19, 21-22, 24, 26, and 28.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lehman, Gauthier, and Stewart</u> .					
$\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Agreement with respect to the claims f)} \mbox{\square was reached.} \ \ \mbox{g)$} \mbox{$\square$ was not reached.} \ \ \mbox{h)$} \mbox{$\square$ N/A.}$					

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: • The Parties briefly discussed rejections under 103(a) regarding the Office Action dated March 13, 2008; specifically, focusing on prior art of Stewart and "init-tasks" within the pending application The examiner will reconsider the case upon receiving further amendment response from the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan Q. Dam/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 2192

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.